Gender, Power, and Invisibility

Much of feminist scholarship has been directed toward exploring and understanding the diversity of women’s experiences, particularly with regard to race and class (Miranne and Young, 2000). It is generally accepted that we can no longer lay claim to the myth of universal womanhood (Sanders, 1991). We argue here that in addition to the dominance of race and class; which have indeed been key determinants of how gender difference is understood and portrayed; the socio cultural and the autonomous subjectivity of women interacts with each other and with the spatial in different scales/locales (from the body to the city). Of particular importance is the ambiguous rhetoric of visibility/invisibility (the ability – or inability- to manipulate whether or not one is seen, unseen, or whether one occupies the intersection between the two. What surfaces in these photos is the way that women present themselves to be seen and how they use visibility/invisibility to negotiate power and unearth the political and symbolic connotations that invisibility has in enabling the ‘weak’ to resist the ‘powerful’ (Scott, 1985). Observing women use their invisibility as a means of achieving tactical power over their socio cultural environments complicates our thinking about the performance and enactment of gender. It also sheds light on the ambiguous rhetoric of invisibility (Baudrillard, 1981). Here, we examine the visual culture of women who occupy different spaces, places, and positionalities. We foreground the value of visibility/invisibility, not to the neglect of the other aspects of the lived experience of women; but as a lens into something not typically considered. “Visibility is a (perhaps THE) most notable aspect of our culture (Classen, 1997). How do women who reckon with attributes of difference (race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, religion,
and age) manipulate visibility/invisibility to negotiate power and ways of being? Is it a conscious decision? How do they use hidden and unseen (invisible) spaces to achieve what cannot be achieved in public space? What does invisibility offer? What does visibility offer? Is it possible to be simultaneously both visible and invisible? Are there cultural differences – in this case between public spaces in Philadelphia and João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil? What intrigues us is the juxtapositions, assumptions, and complications we see when we look at the photos. These are the provocations that prompted our work.

Photography

Unlike the written text, photography allows us to capture people in places and spaces with an intensity and immediacy that gives birth to stories that long to be told. The photographs we present below allow us to ask different questions and in viewing them, fill a void in our mind’s eye. Indeed, “. . . the advantage of photography is that it can conjure so much meaning on so many levels -- in the conscious mind and the unconscious mind -- not just memory, longing, dreams, and the immediate present, (which instantly becomes the past as soon as the photograph is snapped) but the intimate truths, shields, wounds, silent wishes, desires, shared understandings, fears, and confidences. The disadvantage is that there are things that can’t be shown visually, that require words, . . .” (Behar, 2012:2). Such is the nature of invisibility and its counterpart visibility.
Figure 1 Caption: Invisibility holds unassailable appeal for those who live under the threat of scrutiny and oppression, but it is complex and paradoxical. Unlike other writers who have argued that invisibility/anonymity holds little in terms of a contributing to a vision of a public sphere (Garber, 2000); we suggest here that invisibility is a ‘weapon’ for women who are members of marginalized groups. In this way, we can begin to understand human behavior in various guises, situatedness, and locales (Newton 1998:70).
Figure 2 Caption - Gender emerges from a web of discourses which construct women as desiring to be seen by men, a performative undertaking subject to the male gaze. The photograph as a mode of representation emerges from what is commonly believed to be truth. Does photographing something that presents an alternate reality (lesbian and queer spaces where gender is not performed for the male gaze) still represent truth or does it produce a distortion, a spectacle, something untrue?
Figure 3a

(Photo by Lorena Monteiro)
A retórica ambígua de visibilidade e invisibilidade na vida das mulheres: justaposições, pressupostos e complicações

Figure 3b

(Photo by Lorena Monteiro)
Figures 3a, b, c Caption - The private relationship hides a profound recognition and acceptance of the public verdict. Individuals know themselves to be judged if not by their objects, by their positionality. In the private secluded sphere, in the autonomous field of needs and satisfactions, below or beyond the social constraints, the individual evinces or claims legitimacy. . .(adapted from Baudrillard, 1981)
A retórica ambígua de visibilidade e invisibilidade na vida das mulheres: justaposições, pressupostos e complicações

(Photo by Loreley Garcia)
Figure 4 Caption - Identity group affiliation and anonymity are both necessary to achieve the ideal of social differentiation without exclusion, suggesting that there is a relationship between being anonymous and being identified with or within a group (Young, 1990, 238-239). Attaching meaning to what we see as it relates to group affiliation is produced by a certain type of social thought.
Figure 5 Caption - Papanek (1982) describes the burqa as ‘portable seclusion’ that enables women to move out of segregated living spaces while still observing the separation and protection of women from unrelated men. Women in burqas trouble the idea of invisibility . . . they occupy a contradictory space of both invisibility and visibility.
In a similar way, the South Korean sex workers (yanggongju) were visible significations of collective shame (not to be seen) and also (visible) buffers between respectable South Korean citizens and the US military struggling woman (invisible) negotiating the poverty of South Korea and diplomats (visible) fulfilling patriotic duties by keeping US interests engaged (Cho, 2007).
Figure 6 Caption - *In the lives of women, being able to manipulate when, where, and how one is seen is connected to power*
Figure 7a

(Photo by R Sanders)
A retórica ambígua de visibilidade e invisibilidade na vida das mulheres: justaposições, pressupostos e complicações

Figure 7b  
( Photo by R Sanders)
Figures 7a, b, c Caption - According to Abu Lughod (2002), the burqa marked the symbolic separation of men’s and women’s spheres, as part of the association of women with family and home, not with public space. She thought these enveloping robes as “mobile homes,” which were “supposed to assure their protection in the public sphere from the harassment of strange men by symbolically signaling to all that they were still in the inviolable space of their homes, even though moving in the public realm” (Abu-Lughod, 2002:785).
To what extent is invisibility/visibility appealing in and of itself, and to what extent is it merely a survival strategy that women assume until more successful or appealing strategies come along? When understood this way, negotiating invisibility is largely a “defensive” strategy. For many Muslim women in particular being invisible covers up radical and subversive practices, such as wearing cosmetics and seductive lingerie. It may also be seen as resistance to colonial and postcolonial patriarchy and even a way of responding to the homogenizing force of globalization (Farrell, 2007; Nafisi, 2003).
Figure 8a

(Photography by R. Sanders)
Figures 8a, b, c Caption - Is there a story here longing to be told? Where does it come from – age, gender, posture, place? What narrative does aging (young and old) conjure in the photo. Subordinate groups have developed an arsenal of techniques that serve to shield their identity while facilitating open criticisms, threats and attacks (Scott, 1990, 140))
Figure 9 Caption - Visibility lies at the intersection of the two domains of aesthetics – (perception) and politics (power) – the symbolic; something that is aesthetically impressive and semiotically relevant in social relations. The hidden is both visible and invisible for women in burqas... the ambiguous space of invisibility and visibility.
CONCLUSIONS

What we suggest here is that shifting between visibility and invisibility is a practice that women summon at critical moments. Similar to a camouflaged building (Robinson, 2012), a woman in a burqa may be visually present, while remaining socially absent at the same time. It is a tactic which holds its value for the ‘weak’ being both absent and present. It holds the potential to subvert power relations and permits women to become power holders.
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